

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to .

Commission file number 1-8729

UNISYS CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

38-0387840
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

801 Lakeview Drive, Suite 100
Blue Bell, Pennsylvania
(Address of principal executive offices)

19422
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(215) 986-4011**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer
Non-Accelerated Filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES NO

Number of shares of Common Stock outstanding as of September 30, 2012: 43,973,601.

Part I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

UNISYS CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)
(Millions)

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 541.6 | \$ 714.9 |
| Accounts and notes receivable, net | 602.2 | 673.0 |
| Inventories: | | |
| Parts and finished equipment | 32.7 | 38.1 |
| Work in process and materials | 26.3 | 26.7 |
| Deferred income taxes | 23.7 | 27.1 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 98.2 | 123.6 |
| Total | <u>1,324.7</u> | <u>1,603.4</u> |
| Properties | 1,264.0 | 1,257.2 |
| Less-Accumulated depreciation and amortization | 1,088.5 | 1,065.9 |
| Properties, net | <u>175.5</u> | <u>191.3</u> |
| Outsourcing assets, net | 119.3 | 137.9 |
| Marketable software, net | 130.2 | 129.8 |
| Prepaid postretirement assets | 22.0 | 43.9 |
| Deferred income taxes | 176.5 | 181.5 |
| Goodwill | 192.2 | 192.5 |
| Other long-term assets | 114.1 | 131.9 |
| Total | <u>\$ 2,254.5</u> | <u>\$ 2,612.2</u> |
| Liabilities and deficit | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Notes payable | \$.2 | \$ — |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | .5 | .9 |
| Accounts payable | 221.1 | 241.6 |
| Deferred revenue | 362.8 | 448.1 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 368.8 | 425.5 |
| Total | <u>953.4</u> | <u>1,116.1</u> |
| Long-term debt | 210.1 | 358.8 |
| Long-term postretirement liabilities | 2,032.1 | 2,224.0 |
| Long-term deferred revenue | 116.4 | 120.3 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 95.1 | 104.0 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Deficit | | |
| 6.25% mandatory convertible preferred stock, net of issuance costs, shares issued: 2012; 2.6, 2011; 2.6 | 249.7 | 249.7 |
| Common stock, shares issued: | | |
| 2012; 44.3, 2011; 43.8 | .4 | .4 |
| Accumulated deficit | (1,976.9) | (2,036.6) |
| Treasury stock, shares at cost: | | |
| 2012; .4, 2011; .3 | (48.8) | (48.1) |
| Paid-in capital | 4,227.0 | 4,217.4 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (3,616.1) | (3,700.9) |
| Total Unisys stockholders' deficit | <u>(1,164.7)</u> | <u>(1,318.1)</u> |
| Noncontrolling interests | 12.1 | 7.1 |
| Total deficit | <u>(1,152.6)</u> | <u>(1,311.0)</u> |
| Total | <u>\$ 2,254.5</u> | <u>\$ 2,612.2</u> |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

UNISYS CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)
(Millions, except per share data)

| | Three Months | | Nine Months | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | Ended September 30 | 2011 | Ended September 30 | 2011 |
| 2012 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenue | | | | |
| Services | \$ 748.0 | \$ 876.3 | \$2,386.7 | \$2,519.3 |
| Technology | 129.4 | 143.8 | 340.4 | 349.2 |
| | <u>877.4</u> | <u>1,020.1</u> | <u>2,727.1</u> | <u>2,868.5</u> |
| Costs and expenses | | | | |
| Cost of revenue: | | | | |
| Services | 612.5 | 680.0 | 1,927.0 | 2,010.6 |
| Technology | 46.3 | 55.3 | 112.5 | 151.2 |
| | <u>658.8</u> | <u>735.3</u> | <u>2,039.5</u> | <u>2,161.8</u> |
| Selling, general and administrative | 138.6 | 153.3 | 422.0 | 446.5 |
| Research and development | 18.8 | 18.5 | 61.0 | 57.2 |
| | <u>816.2</u> | <u>907.1</u> | <u>2,522.5</u> | <u>2,665.5</u> |
| Operating profit | 61.2 | 113.0 | 204.6 | 203.0 |
| Interest expense | 7.8 | 12.5 | 25.0 | 51.7 |
| Other income (expense), net | (25.8) | 16.6 | (34.9) | (56.6) |
| Income before income taxes | 27.6 | 117.1 | 144.7 | 94.7 |
| Provision for income taxes | 32.7 | 33.4 | 76.8 | 52.4 |
| Consolidated net income (loss) | (5.1) | 83.7 | 67.9 | 42.3 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | 3.3 | 1.0 | 8.2 | 6.6 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Unisys Corporation | (8.4) | 82.7 | 59.7 | 35.7 |
| Preferred stock dividends | 4.0 | 4.1 | 12.1 | 9.5 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Unisys Corporation common shareholders | <u>\$ (12.4)</u> | <u>\$ 78.6</u> | <u>\$ 47.6</u> | <u>\$ 26.2</u> |
| Earnings (loss) per common share attributable to Unisys Corporation | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (.28) | \$ 1.82 | \$ 1.09 | \$.61 |
| Diluted | <u>\$ (.28)</u> | <u>\$ 1.63</u> | <u>\$ 1.08</u> | <u>\$.60</u> |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

UNISYS CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)
(Millions)

| | Three Months | | Nine Months | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| | Ended September 30 2012 | 2011 | Ended September 30 2012 | 2011 |
| Consolidated net income (loss) | \$ (5.1) | \$ 83.7 | \$ 67.9 | \$ 42.3 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation | 24.4 | (70.8) | 19.9 | (45.4) |
| Postretirement adjustments, net of tax of \$(2.8) and \$(9.6) in 2012 and \$7.7 and \$4.6 in 2011 | 19.2 | 52.3 | 66.6 | 80.2 |
| Total other comprehensive income | 43.6 | (18.5) | 86.5 | 34.8 |
| Comprehensive income | 38.5 | 65.2 | 154.4 | 77.1 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (3.1) | .2 | (9.9) | (7.9) |
| Comprehensive income attributable to Unisys Corporation | <u>\$ 35.4</u> | <u>\$ 65.4</u> | <u>\$ 144.5</u> | <u>\$ 69.2</u> |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

UNISYS CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)
(Millions)

| | Nine Months Ended September 30 | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Consolidated net income | \$ 67.9 | \$ 42.3 |
| Add (deduct) items to reconcile consolidated net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Loss on debt extinguishment | 30.6 | 77.6 |
| Employee stock compensation | 11.8 | 12.8 |
| Company stock issued for U.S. 401(k) plan | 6.2 | 9.6 |
| Depreciation and amortization of properties | 41.8 | 50.8 |
| Depreciation and amortization of outsourcing assets | 44.1 | 48.2 |
| Amortization of marketable software | 42.5 | 50.9 |
| Disposal of capital assets | 6.2 | 1.0 |
| (Gain) loss on sale of businesses and assets | (11.7) | .3 |
| Decrease in deferred income taxes, net | 31.0 | 33.4 |
| Decrease in receivables, net | 58.0 | 89.5 |
| Decrease in inventories | 5.1 | 21.3 |
| Decrease in accounts payable and other accrued liabilities | (151.8) | (254.6) |
| Decrease in other liabilities | (183.6) | (43.2) |
| Decrease in other assets | 112.0 | 17.6 |
| Other | (2.7) | .2 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 107.4 | 157.7 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Proceeds from investments | 2,786.6 | 396.9 |
| Purchases of investments | (2,784.2) | (394.5) |
| Restricted deposits | (1.1) | 30.1 |
| Investment in marketable software | (42.9) | (36.9) |
| Capital additions of properties | (26.0) | (32.9) |
| Capital additions of outsourcing assets | (27.8) | (31.3) |
| Net proceeds (payments) from sale of businesses and assets | 5.2 | (15.0) |
| Net cash used for investing activities | (90.2) | (83.6) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Payments of long-term debt | (388.9) | (462.5) |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | 204.8 | — |
| Net proceeds from short-term borrowing | .2 | — |
| Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock, net of issuance costs | — | 249.7 |
| Dividend paid to noncontrolling interests | (4.5) | (.4) |
| Dividends paid on preferred stock | (12.1) | (8.1) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | .4 | 1.4 |
| Financing fees | — | (2.2) |
| Net cash used for financing activities | (200.1) | (222.1) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | 9.6 | (13.0) |
| Decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (173.3) | (161.0) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 714.9 | 828.3 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | <u>\$ 541.6</u> | <u>\$ 667.3</u> |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

In the opinion of management, the financial information furnished herein reflects all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods specified. These adjustments consist only of normal recurring accruals except as disclosed herein. Because of seasonal and other factors, results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions about future events. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts of assets and liabilities reported, disclosures about contingent assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses. Such estimates include the valuation of accounts receivable, inventories, outsourcing assets, marketable software, goodwill and other long-lived assets, legal contingencies, indemnifications, and assumptions used in the calculation for systems integration projects, income taxes and retirement and other post-employment benefits, among others. These estimates and assumptions are based on management's best estimates and judgment. Management evaluates its estimates and assumptions on an ongoing basis using historical experience and other factors, including the current economic environment, which management believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Management adjusts such estimates and assumptions when facts and circumstances dictate. As future events and their effects cannot be determined with precision, actual results could differ significantly from these estimates. Changes in those estimates resulting from continuing changes in the economic environment will be reflected in the financial statements in future periods.

The company's accounting policies are set forth in detail in note 1 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such Annual Report also contains a discussion of the company's critical accounting policies. The company believes that these critical accounting policies affect its more significant estimates and judgments used in the preparation of the company's consolidated financial statements. There have been no changes in the company's critical accounting policies from those disclosed in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

a. Earnings per Share. The following table shows how earnings per common share attributable to Unisys Corporation was computed for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 (dollars in millions, shares in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended Sept. 30 | | Nine Months Ended Sept. 30 | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Basic Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share | | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Unisys Corporation common shareholders | \$ (12.4) | \$ 78.6 | \$ 47.6 | \$ 26.2 |
| Weighted average shares | 43,966 | 43,246 | 43,827 | 43,063 |
| Total | \$ (.28) | \$ 1.82 | \$ 1.09 | \$.61 |
| Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share | | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Unisys Corporation common shareholders | \$ (12.4) | \$ 78.6 | \$ 47.6 | \$ 26.2 |
| Add preferred stock dividends | — | 4.1 | — | — |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Unisys Corporation for diluted earnings per share | \$ (12.4) | \$ 82.7 | \$ 47.6 | \$ 26.2 |
| Weighted average shares | 43,966 | 43,246 | 43,827 | 43,063 |
| Plus incremental shares from assumed conversions | | | | |
| Employee stock plans | — | 464 | 446 | 572 |
| Preferred stock | — | 6,913 | — | — |
| Adjusted weighted average shares | 43,966 | 50,623 | 44,273 | 43,635 |
| Total | \$ (.28) | \$ 1.63 | \$ 1.08 | \$.60 |

In the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the following weighted-average number of stock options and restricted stock units were antidilutive and therefore excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share (in thousands): 2,148 and 2,163, respectively. In the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, 6,913 and 5,402 (in thousands) weighted-average number of mandatory convertible preferred stock were antidilutive and therefore excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share, respectively.

b. Pension and Postretirement Benefits. Net periodic pension expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 is presented below (in millions of dollars):

| | Three Months Ended Sept. 30, 2012 | | | Three Months Ended Sept. 30, 2011 | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | Total | U.S. Plans | Int'l. Plans | Total | U.S. Plans | Int'l. Plans |
| | Service cost | \$ 2.3 | \$ — | \$ 2.3 | \$ 2.3 | \$ — |
| Interest cost | 91.3 | 63.8 | 27.5 | 98.1 | 66.0 | 32.1 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (105.3) | (71.4) | (33.9) | (118.8) | (84.4) | (34.4) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (.1) | .1 | (.2) | .2 | .1 | .1 |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 41.7 | 31.9 | 9.8 | 26.7 | 19.7 | 7.0 |
| Net periodic pension expense | <u>\$ 29.9</u> | <u>\$ 24.4</u> | <u>\$ 5.5</u> | <u>\$ 8.5</u> | <u>\$ 1.4</u> | <u>\$ 7.1</u> |

| | Nine Months Ended Sept. 30, 2012 | | | Nine Months Ended Sept. 30, 2011 | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | Total | U.S. Plans | Int'l. Plans | Total | U.S. Plans | Int'l. Plans |
| | Service cost | \$ 6.5 | \$ — | \$ 6.5 | \$ 8.4 | \$ — |
| Interest cost | 272.7 | 188.2 | 84.5 | 294.1 | 198.0 | 96.1 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (315.9) | (214.3) | (101.6) | (355.9) | (253.1) | (102.8) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | .2 | .5 | (.3) | .4 | .5 | (.1) |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 118.9 | 91.8 | 27.1 | 79.2 | 58.9 | 20.3 |
| Curtailement gain | (5.7) | — | (5.7) | — | — | — |
| Net periodic pension expense | <u>\$ 76.7</u> | <u>\$ 66.2</u> | <u>\$ 10.5</u> | <u>\$ 26.2</u> | <u>\$ 4.3</u> | <u>\$ 21.9</u> |

On July 6, 2012, legislation was signed into law in the U.S., which, among other things, provides defined benefit plan sponsors with funding relief. The legislation includes provisions regarding interest rate stabilization for pension plans as well as amendments regarding an increase in future Pension Benefit Guarantee Corporation premiums. Based on the Internal Revenue Service published rates, the new guidelines will reduce the company's cash funding requirements in 2012 for its U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plan from the previous estimate of \$143 million to \$111.1 million.

In 2012, the company estimates that it will make cash contributions of approximately \$200 million to its worldwide defined benefit pension plans, which is comprised of \$111 million for the company's U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plan and \$89 million primarily for non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans. In 2011, the company made cash contributions of \$82.7 million to its worldwide defined benefit pension plans. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, \$175.1 million and \$63.6 million, respectively, of cash contributions have been made.

Net periodic postretirement benefit expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 is presented below (in millions of dollars):

| | Three Months Ended Sept. 30 | | Nine Months Ended Sept. 30 | |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Service cost | \$.2 | \$.1 | \$.4 | \$.3 |
| Interest cost | 2.2 | 2.4 | 6.7 | 7.3 |
| Expected return on assets | (.1) | (.1) | (.4) | (.4) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | .4 | .5 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 1.1 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| Net periodic postretirement benefit expense | <u>\$3.8</u> | <u>\$3.9</u> | <u>\$11.3</u> | <u>\$11.6</u> |

The company expects to make cash contributions of approximately \$23 million to its postretirement benefit plan in 2012 compared with \$21.9 million in 2011. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, \$12.1 million and \$15.1 million, respectively, of cash contributions have been made.

c. Fair Value Measurements. Due to its foreign operations, the company is exposed to the effects of foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations on the U.S. dollar, principally related to intercompany account balances. The company uses derivative financial instruments to reduce its exposure to market risks from changes in foreign currency exchange rates on such balances. The company enters into foreign exchange forward contracts, generally having maturities of one month, which have not been designated as hedging instruments. At September 30, 2012 and 2011, the notional amount of these contracts was \$409.0 million and \$103.3 million, respectively. At September 30, 2012 and 2011, the fair value of such contracts was a net gain of \$.7 million and a net loss of \$.1 million, respectively, of which \$.9 million and \$.2 million, respectively, has been recognized in "Prepaid expenses and other current assets" and \$.2 and \$.3 million, respectively, has been recognized in "Other accrued liabilities" in the company's consolidated balance sheet. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, changes in the fair value of these instruments were a gain of \$2.3 million and a gain of \$1.8 million, respectively, which has been recognized in earnings in "Other income (expense), net" in the company's consolidated statement of income. The fair value of these forward contracts is based on quoted prices for similar but not identical financial instruments; as such, the inputs are considered Level 2 inputs.

Financial assets with carrying values approximating fair value include cash and cash equivalents and accounts receivable. Financial liabilities with carrying values approximating fair value include accounts payable and other accrued liabilities. The carrying amounts of these financial assets and liabilities approximate fair value due to their short maturities. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the carrying amount of long-term debt was less than fair value of such debt by approximately \$6 million and \$37 million, respectively. The fair value of such debt is based on market prices (Level 2 inputs).

d. Stock Options. Under stockholder approved stock-based plans, stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and restricted stock units may be granted to officers, directors and other key employees. At September 30, 2012, 4.0 million shares of unissued common stock of the company were available for granting under these plans.

The fair value of stock option awards was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions and weighted-average fair values:

| | Nine Months Ended Sept. 30 | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Weighted-average fair value of grant | \$ 9.73 | \$ 20.12 |
| Risk-free interest rate | .54% | 1.71% |
| Expected volatility | 71.29% | 71.31% |
| Expected life of options in years | 3.65 | 3.62 |
| Expected dividend yield | — | — |

Restricted stock unit awards may contain time-based units, performance-based units or a combination of both. Each performance-based unit will vest into zero to 1.5 shares depending on the degree to which the performance goals are met. Compensation expense resulting from these awards is recognized as expense ratably for each installment from the date of grant until the date the restrictions lapse and is based on the fair market value at the date of grant and the probability of achievement of the specific performance-related goals.

The company records all share-based expense in selling, general and administrative expense.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, the company recorded \$11.8 million and \$12.8 million of share-based compensation expense, respectively, which is comprised of \$4.7 million and \$5.1 million of restricted stock unit expense and \$7.1 million and \$7.7 million of stock option expense, respectively.

A summary of stock option activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 follows (shares in thousands):

| <u>Options</u> | <u>Shares</u> | <u>Weighted-Average Exercise Price</u> | <u>Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)</u> | <u>Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$ in millions)</u> |
|------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2011 | 2,707 | \$ 56.81 | | |
| Granted | 685 | 19.48 | | |
| Exercised | (60) | 5.99 | | |
| Forfeited and expired | (545) | 123.55 | | |
| Outstanding at Sept. 30, 2012 | <u>2,787</u> | 35.49 | 2.61 | \$ 9.0 |
| Expected to vest at Sept. 30, 2012 | <u>1,186</u> | 27.64 | 3.77 | .9 |
| Exercisable at Sept. 30, 2012 | <u>1,557</u> | 41.80 | 1.68 | 8.1 |

The aggregate intrinsic value represents the total pretax value of the difference between the company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the period and the exercise price of the options, multiplied by the number of in-the-money stock options that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on September 30, 2012. The intrinsic value of the company's stock options changes based on the closing price of the company's stock. The total intrinsic value of options exercised for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$.8 million and \$4.4 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2012, \$7.8 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.4 years.

A summary of restricted stock unit activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 follows (shares in thousands):

| | <u>Restricted Stock Units</u> | <u>Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value</u> |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2011 | 384 | \$ 32.39 |
| Granted | 164 | 19.41 |
| Vested | (171) | 24.21 |
| Forfeited and expired | (20) | 27.42 |
| Outstanding at Sept. 30, 2012 | <u>357</u> | 25.23 |

The fair value of restricted stock units is determined based on the trading price of the company's common shares on the date of grant. The aggregate weighted-average grant-date fair value of restricted stock units granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$3.2 million and \$11.3 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2012, there was \$4.8 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to outstanding restricted stock units granted under the company's plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.8 years. The aggregate weighted-average grant-date fair value of restricted share units vested during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$4.1 million and \$5.5 million, respectively.

Common stock issued upon exercise of stock options or upon lapse of restrictions on restricted stock units is newly issued shares. Cash received from the exercise of stock options for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$4 million and \$1.4 million, respectively. The company is currently not recognizing any tax benefits from the exercise of stock options or upon issuance of stock upon lapse of restrictions on restricted stock units in light of its tax position. Tax benefits resulting from tax deductions in excess of the compensation costs recognized are classified as financing cash flows.

e. Segment Information. The company has two business segments: Services and Technology. Revenue classifications by segment are as follows: Services – systems integration and consulting, outsourcing, infrastructure services and core maintenance; Technology – enterprise-class software and servers and other technology.

The accounting policies of each business segment are the same as those followed by the company as a whole. Intersegment sales and transfers are priced as if the sales or transfers were to third parties. Accordingly, the Technology segment recognizes intersegment revenue and manufacturing profit on hardware and software shipments to customers under Services contracts. The Services segment, in turn, recognizes customer revenue and marketing profits on such shipments of company hardware and software to customers. The Services segment also includes the sale of hardware and software products sourced from third parties that are sold to customers through the company's Services channels. In the company's consolidated statements of income, the manufacturing costs of products sourced from the Technology segment and sold to Services customers are reported in cost of revenue for Services.

Also included in the Technology segment's sales and operating profit are sales of hardware and software sold to the Services segment for internal use in Services engagements. The amount of such profit included in operating income of the Technology segment for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$4 million and \$7 million, respectively. The amount for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$9.8 million and \$5.4 million, respectively. The profit on these transactions is eliminated in Corporate.

The company evaluates business segment performance on operating income exclusive of pension income or expense, restructuring charges and unusual and nonrecurring items, which are included in Corporate. All other corporate and centrally incurred costs are allocated to the business segments based principally on revenue, employees, square footage or usage.

A summary of the company's operations by business segment for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 is presented below (in millions of dollars):

| | <u>Total</u> | <u>Corporate</u> | <u>Services</u> | <u>Technology</u> |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| <u>Three Months Ended Sept. 30, 2012</u> | | | | |
| Customer revenue | \$ 877.4 | | \$ 748.0 | \$ 129.4 |
| Intersegment | | \$ (16.2) | .6 | 15.6 |
| Total revenue | \$ 877.4 | \$ (16.2) | \$ 748.6 | \$ 145.0 |
| Operating income | \$ 61.2 | \$ (25.8) | \$ 44.8 | \$ 42.2 |
| <u>Three Months Ended Sept. 30, 2011</u> | | | | |
| Customer revenue | \$1,020.1 | | \$ 876.3 | \$ 143.8 |
| Intersegment | | \$ (26.4) | .9 | 25.5 |
| Total revenue | \$1,020.1 | \$ (26.4) | \$ 877.2 | \$ 169.3 |
| Operating income | \$ 113.0 | \$ (6.6) | \$ 75.9 | \$ 43.7 |
| <u>Nine Months Ended Sept. 30, 2012</u> | | | | |
| Customer revenue | \$2,727.1 | | \$2,386.7 | \$ 340.4 |
| Intersegment | | \$ (85.8) | 2.0 | 83.8 |
| Total revenue | \$2,727.1 | \$ (85.8) | \$2,388.7 | \$ 424.2 |
| Operating income | \$ 204.6 | \$ (64.6) | \$ 151.2 | \$ 118.0 |
| <u>Nine Months Ended Sept. 30, 2011</u> | | | | |
| Customer revenue | \$2,868.5 | | \$2,519.3 | \$ 349.2 |
| Intersegment | | \$ (70.2) | 2.7 | 67.5 |
| Total revenue | \$2,868.5 | \$ (70.2) | \$2,522.0 | \$ 416.7 |
| Operating income | \$ 203.0 | \$ (26.1) | \$ 168.2 | \$ 60.9 |

Presented below is a reconciliation of total business segment operating income to consolidated income before income taxes (in millions of dollars):

| | Three Months Ended Sept. 30 | | Nine Months Ended Sept. 30 | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Total segment operating income | \$ 87.0 | \$ 119.6 | \$ 269.2 | \$ 229.1 |
| Interest expense | (7.8) | (12.5) | (25.0) | (51.7) |
| Other income (expense), net | (25.8) | 16.6 | (34.9) | (56.6) |
| Corporate and eliminations | (25.8) | (6.6) | (64.6) | (26.1) |
| Total income before income taxes | <u>\$ 27.6</u> | <u>\$ 117.1</u> | <u>\$ 144.7</u> | <u>\$ 94.7</u> |

Customer revenue by classes of similar products or services, by segment, is presented below (in millions of dollars):

| | Three Months Ended Sept. 30 | | Nine Months Ended Sept. 30 | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Services | | | | |
| Systems integration and consulting | \$ 230.6 | \$ 305.8 | \$ 801.9 | \$ 876.7 |
| Outsourcing | 363.3 | 391.2 | 1,110.9 | 1,112.6 |
| Infrastructure services | 107.1 | 124.1 | 330.0 | 364.1 |
| Core maintenance | 47.0 | 55.2 | 143.9 | 165.9 |
| | <u>748.0</u> | <u>876.3</u> | <u>2,386.7</u> | <u>2,519.3</u> |
| Technology | | | | |
| Enterprise-class software and servers | 123.5 | 123.4 | 320.0 | 302.6 |
| Other technology | 5.9 | 20.4 | 20.4 | 46.6 |
| | <u>129.4</u> | <u>143.8</u> | <u>340.4</u> | <u>349.2</u> |
| Total | <u>\$877.4</u> | <u>\$1,020.1</u> | <u>\$2,727.1</u> | <u>\$2,868.5</u> |

Geographic information about the company's revenue, which is principally based on location of the selling organization, is presented below (in millions of dollars):

| | Three Months Ended Sept. 30 | | Nine Months Ended Sept. 30 | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| United States | \$344.0 | \$ 452.8 | \$1,094.3 | \$1,177.0 |
| United Kingdom | 114.2 | 100.8 | 325.5 | 299.7 |
| Other international | 419.2 | 466.5 | 1,307.3 | 1,391.8 |
| Total | <u>\$877.4</u> | <u>\$1,020.1</u> | <u>\$2,727.1</u> | <u>\$2,868.5</u> |

f. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. Accumulated other comprehensive loss as of December 31, 2011 and September 30, 2012 is as follows (in millions of dollars):

| | Total | Translation Adjustments | Postretirement Plans |
|------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$(3,700.9) | \$ (649.1) | \$ (3,051.8) |
| Change during period | 84.8 | 17.0 | 67.8 |
| Balance at Sept. 30, 2012 | <u>\$(3,616.1)</u> | <u>\$ (632.1)</u> | <u>\$ (2,984.0)</u> |

Noncontrolling interests as of December 31, 2011 and September 30, 2012 is as follows (in millions of dollars):

| | Noncontrolling Interests |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ 7.1 |
| Net income | 8.2 |
| Translation adjustments | 2.9 |
| Dividends declared to non-controlling interests | (3.5) |
| Sale of subsidiary | (1.4) |
| Postretirement plans | (1.2) |
| Balance at September 30, 2012 | <u>\$ 12.1</u> |

g. Supplemental Cash Flow Information. Cash paid for income taxes during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$30.4 million and \$63.4 million, respectively.

Cash paid for interest during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$42.5 million and \$66.3 million, respectively.

h. Accounting Standards. Effective January 1, 2012, the company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) authoritative guidance that amends previous guidance for the presentation of comprehensive income. The new standard eliminates the option to present other comprehensive income in the statement of changes in equity. Under the revised guidance, an entity has the option to present the components of net income and other comprehensive income in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive financial statements. The company is providing two separate but consecutive financial statements. The new standard was required to be applied retrospectively. Other than the change in presentation, the adoption of the new standard did not have an impact on the company's consolidated financial statements.

Effective January 1, 2012, the company adopted FASB authoritative guidance that amends previous guidance for fair value measurement and disclosure requirements. The revised guidance changes certain fair value measurement principles, clarifies the application of existing fair value measurements and expands the disclosure requirements, particularly for Level 3 fair value measurements. Adoption of the amendments did not have a material impact on the company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that requires disclosure of information pertaining to the offsetting of assets and liabilities in the financial statements. The guidance, which requires disclosure in both interim and annual periods, is effective January 1, 2013. The company has determined that the new guidance will not have an impact on its consolidated financial statements, other than additional disclosures.

i. Commitments and Contingencies. There are various lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings that have been brought or asserted against the company, which arise in the ordinary course of business, including actions with respect to commercial and government contracts, labor and employment, employee benefits, environmental matters, intellectual property, and non-income tax and employment compensation in Brazil. The company records a provision for these matters when it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Any provisions are reviewed at least quarterly and are adjusted to reflect the impact and status of settlements, rulings, advice of counsel and other information and events pertinent to a particular matter.

The company believes that it has valid defenses with respect to legal matters pending against it. Based on its experience, the company also believes that the damage amounts claimed in the lawsuits disclosed below are not a meaningful indicator of the company's potential liability. Litigation is inherently unpredictable, however, and it is possible that the company's results of operations or cash flow could be materially affected in any particular period by the resolution of one or more of the legal matters pending against it.

The company had a competitively awarded contract with the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) that provided for the establishment of secure information technology environments in airports. The Civil Division of the Department of Justice, working with the Inspector General's Office of the Department of Homeland Security, have been reviewing issues relating to labor categorization and overtime on the TSA contract. The Civil Division is also reviewing issues relating to cyber intrusion protection under the TSA and follow-on contracts. The company is working cooperatively with TSA and the Civil Division. The company is having settlement discussions with these government agencies regarding labor categorization and overtime. The company cannot now predict the duration or outcome of these discussions.

The company has contracts with the General Services Administration (GSA), known as Multiple Award Schedule Contracts, under which various U.S. governmental agencies can purchase products and services from the company. Auditors from the GSA's Office of Inspector General have been reviewing the company's compliance with the disclosure and pricing provisions under one of these contracts, and whether the company has potentially overcharged the government under the contract. Separately, the company has made a voluntary disclosure about this matter to the responsible GSA contracting officer. The company has provided pricing and other information to the GSA auditors and has worked cooperatively with them. The company cannot predict the outcome at this time.

In April 2007, the Ministry of Justice of Belgium sued Unisys Belgium SA-NV, a Unisys subsidiary (Unisys Belgium), in the Court of First Instance of Brussels. The Belgian government had engaged the company to design and develop software for a computerized system to be used to manage the Belgian court system. The Belgian State terminated the contract and in its lawsuit has alleged that the termination was justified because Unisys Belgium failed to deliver satisfactory software in a timely manner. It claims damages of approximately 28 million Euros. Unisys Belgium has filed its defense and counterclaim in the amount of approximately 18.5 million Euros. The company believes it has valid defenses to the claims and contends that the Belgian State's termination of the contract was unjustified.

In December 2007, Lufthansa AG sued Unisys Deutschland GmbH, a Unisys subsidiary (Unisys Germany), in the District Court of Frankfurt, Germany, for allegedly failing to perform properly its obligations during the initial phase of a 2004 software design and development contract relating to a Lufthansa customer loyalty program. Under the contract, either party was free to withdraw from the project at the conclusion of the initial design phase. Rather than withdraw, Lufthansa instead terminated the contract and failed to pay the balance owed to Unisys Germany for the initial phase. Lufthansa's lawsuit alleges that Unisys Germany breached the contract by failing to deliver a proper design for the new system and seeks approximately 21.4 million Euros in damages. The company believes it has valid defenses and has filed its defense and a counterclaim in the amount of approximately 1.5 million Euros. The litigation is proceeding.

The company's Brazilian operations, along with those of many other companies doing business in Brazil, are involved in various litigation matters, including numerous governmental assessments related to indirect and other taxes, as well as disputes associated with former employees and contract labor. The tax-related matters pertain to value added taxes, customs, duties, sales and other non-income related tax exposures. The labor-related matters include claims related to compensation matters. The company believes that appropriate accruals have been established for such matters based on information currently available. At September 30, 2012, excluding those matters that have been assessed by management as being remote as to the likelihood of ultimately resulting in a loss, the amount related to unreserved tax-related matters, inclusive of any related interest, is estimated to be up to approximately \$143 million.

With respect to the specific legal proceedings and claims described above, except as otherwise noted, either (i) the amount or range of possible losses in excess of amounts accrued, if any, is not reasonably estimable or (ii) the company believes that the amount or range of possible losses in excess of amounts accrued that are estimable would not be material.

Litigation is inherently unpredictable and unfavorable resolutions could occur. Accordingly, it is possible that an adverse outcome from such matters could exceed the amounts accrued in an amount that could be material to the company's financial condition, results of operations and cash flows in any particular reporting period.

Notwithstanding that the ultimate results of the lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings that have been brought or asserted against the company are not currently determinable, the company believes that at September 30, 2012, it has adequate provisions for any such matters.

j. Income Taxes. Accounting rules governing income taxes require that deferred tax assets and liabilities be recognized using enacted tax rates for the effect of temporary differences between the book and tax bases of recorded assets and liabilities. These rules also require that deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion or the entire deferred tax asset will not be realized.

The company evaluates the realizability of its deferred tax assets by assessing its valuation allowance and by adjusting the amount of such allowance, if necessary. The factors used to assess the likelihood of realization are the company's historical profitability, forecast of future taxable income and available tax-planning strategies that could be implemented to realize the net deferred tax assets. The company uses tax-planning strategies to realize or renew net deferred tax assets to avoid the potential loss of future tax benefits.

A full valuation allowance is currently maintained for all U.S. and certain foreign deferred tax assets in excess of deferred tax liabilities. The company will record a tax provision or benefit for those international subsidiaries that do not have a full valuation allowance against their net deferred tax assets. Any profit or loss recorded for the company's U.S. continuing operations will have no provision or benefit associated with it due to full valuation allowance, except with respect to benefits related to income from discontinued operations, refundable tax credits and withholding taxes not creditable against future taxable income. As a result, the company's provision or benefit for taxes will vary significantly depending on the geographic distribution of income.

Included in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 is an increase in the company's income tax provision of \$9.2 million caused by a decrease in net deferred tax assets due to a UK rate change. The UK government reduced its corporate tax rate from 25% to 24% effective April 1, 2012, and from 24% to 23% effective April 1, 2013. These changes were considered to be enacted for U.S. GAAP purposes in July of 2012, when all legislative procedures were completed and the Finance Act of 2012 received Royal Assent.

Included in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was a benefit of \$29.2 million related to the settlement of two European tax matters and an increase in the company's income tax provision of \$7.6 million caused by a decrease in net deferred tax assets due to a UK rate change. The UK government reduced its corporate tax rate from 27% to 26% effective April 1, 2011, and from 26% to 25% effective April 1, 2012. These changes were considered to be enacted for U.S. GAAP purposes in July of 2011, when all legislative procedures were completed and the Finance Act of 2011 received Royal Assent.

Internal Revenue Code Sections 382 and 383 provide annual limitations with respect to the ability of a corporation to utilize its net operating loss (as well as certain built-in losses) and tax credit carryforwards, respectively (Tax Attributes), against future U.S. taxable income, if the corporation experiences an "ownership change." In general terms, an ownership change may result from transactions increasing the ownership of certain stockholders in the stock of a corporation by more than 50 percentage points over a three-year period. The company regularly monitors ownership changes (as calculated for purposes of Section 382). Based on information received through the current quarter, the company has determined that, for purposes of the rules of Section 382 described above, an ownership change occurred in February 2011. Moreover, any future transaction or transactions and the timing of such transaction or transactions could trigger additional ownership changes under Section 382.

As a result of the ownership change, utilization of the company's Tax Attributes will be subject to an estimated overall annual limitation determined in part by multiplying the total adjusted aggregate market value of the company's common stock immediately preceding the ownership change (approximately \$1.6 billion) by the applicable long-term tax-exempt rate (4.47% for February 2011), subject to increase or decrease based on the built-in gain or built-in loss, if any, in the company's assets at the time of the ownership change. Any unused annual limitation may be carried over to later years. Future U.S. taxable income may not be fully offset by existing Tax Attributes,

if such income exceeds the company's annual limitation. However, based on presently available information and the existence of tax planning strategies, currently the company does not expect to incur a U.S. cash tax liability in the near term. The company maintains a full valuation allowance against the realization of all U.S. deferred tax assets as well as certain foreign deferred tax assets in excess of deferred tax liabilities.

k. Long-Term Debt. On August 21, 2012, the company issued \$210 million of 6.25% senior notes due 2017. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the company retired an aggregate principal amount of \$362.3 million of its long-term debt, comprised of all of the remaining \$186.2 million of its 12.75% senior secured notes due 2014, all of the remaining \$25.5 million of its 14 1/4% senior secured notes due 2015 and all of the remaining \$150.6 million of its 12.50% senior notes due 2016. The company used cash on hand and the net proceeds from the issuance of the 6.25% senior notes due 2017 to fund the retirement of this debt. As a result of these retirements, the company recognized charges of \$30.6 million in "Other income (expense), net" in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, comprised of \$26.6 million of premium paid and \$4.0 million for the write off of unamortized discounts, issuance costs and gain related to the portion of the notes retired. During the three months ended September 30, 2012, the company recognized \$23.1 million of such charges. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the company recognized charges of \$77.6 million in "Other income (expense), net" related to debt redemptions.

l. Sale of Business. On March 30, 2012, the company completed the sale of its interest in its South African joint venture. A pretax gain of \$10.6 million has been reported as a reduction of selling, general and administrative expense in the company's consolidated statement of income. Going forward, the company will serve this market through a distributor. The joint venture, which had operations in both of the company's reporting segments of Services and Technology, generated full year 2011 revenue and pretax income of approximately \$40 million and \$8 million, respectively.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the company reported net income of \$47.6 million, or \$1.08 per diluted share, compared with prior period net income of \$26.2 million, or \$.60 per diluted share. Revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$2,727.1 million compared with \$2,868.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Foreign currency fluctuations had a 3-percentage point negative impact on revenue in the current period compared with the year-ago period. Also impacting the company's nine month 2012 revenue was a \$114 million, or 23%, decline in the company's U.S. Federal government business, reflecting continued softness in this business. For the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, the company reported income before income taxes of \$144.7 million and \$94.7 million, respectively. The company's pretax results for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 included debt-reduction charges of approximately \$30.6 million and \$77.6 million, respectively; pension expense of \$76.7 million and \$26.2 million, respectively; foreign exchange losses of \$7.3 million and foreign exchange gains of \$21.1 million, respectively; a gain of \$10.6 million related to the sale of a subsidiary in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 discussed below; and a charge of \$13.5 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 related to a Brazil matter discussed below.

For the three months ended September 30, 2012, the company reported a net loss of \$12.4 million, or a \$.28 loss per diluted share, compared with prior period net income of \$78.6 million, or \$1.63 per diluted share. Revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was \$877.4 million compared with \$1,020.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The company's results for the third quarter of 2012 were impacted by weak demand for systems integration services and lower revenue in its U.S. Federal Systems business. Also impacting the third-quarter 2012 results were \$23.1 million of debt reduction charges and higher pension expense of \$28.9 million.

Results of operations

Company results

Three months ended September 30, 2012 compared with the three months ended September 30, 2011

Revenue for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 was \$877.4 million compared with \$1,020.1 million for the third quarter of 2011, a decrease of 14% from the prior year. Approximately 3 percentage points of the decline were due to a \$52.4 million, or 29%, decline in revenue from the company's U.S. Federal business. Foreign currency fluctuations had a 4-percentage point negative impact on revenue in the current period compared with the year-ago period.

Services revenue decreased 15% and Technology revenue decreased 10% in the current quarter compared with the year-ago period. U.S. revenue decreased 24% in the third quarter compared with the year-ago period. Approximately 3 percentage points of the decline were due to the decline in revenue from the company's U.S. Federal business. International revenue decreased 6% in the current quarter principally due to declines in Europe, Brazil and Asia/Pacific, partially offset by an increase in Latin America (excluding Brazil) and Japan. Foreign currency had a 9-percentage-point negative impact on international revenue in the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared with the three months ended September 30, 2011.

Total gross profit margin was 24.9% in the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared with 27.9% in the three months ended September 30, 2011.

Selling, general and administrative expense in the three months ended September 30, 2012 was \$138.6 million (15.8% of revenue) compared with \$153.3 million (15.0% of revenue) in the year-ago period.

Research and development (R&D) expenses in the third quarter of 2012 were \$18.8 million compared with \$18.5 million in the third quarter of 2011.

For the third quarter of 2012, the company reported an operating profit of \$61.2 million compared with an operating profit of \$113.0 million in the third quarter of 2011.

For the three months ended September 30, 2012, pension expense was \$29.9 million compared with pension expense of \$8.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011. For the full year 2012, the company expects to recognize pension expense of approximately \$107 million compared with \$34.3 million for the full year of 2011. The increase in pension expense in 2012 from 2011 is principally due to lower expected returns on plan assets in the company's U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plan and lower discount rates. The company records pension income or expense, as well as other employee-related costs such as payroll taxes and medical insurance costs, in operating income in the following income statement categories: cost of revenue; selling, general and administrative expenses; and research and development expenses. The amount allocated to each category is principally based on where the salaries of active employees are charged.

Interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was \$7.8 million compared with \$12.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 reflecting the company's debt reduction actions.

Other income (expense), net was an expense of \$25.8 million in the third quarter of 2012 compared with income of \$16.6 million in 2011. Included in the third quarter of 2012 were charges of \$23.1 million related to debt redemptions and foreign exchange losses of \$3.4 million. Included in the third quarter of 2011 were foreign exchange gains of \$12.9 million and a charge of \$7.1 million related to the Brazil matter discussed above.

Income before income taxes for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was \$27.6 million compared with income of \$117.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011. The provision for income taxes was \$32.7 million in the current quarter compared with a provision of \$33.4 million in the year-ago period. Included in the provision for taxes for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$9.2 million and \$7.6 million, respectively, related to a UK tax rate change, as discussed in note (j) of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

As also discussed in note (j) of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, the company evaluates quarterly the realizability of its deferred tax assets by assessing its valuation allowance and by adjusting the amount of such allowance,

if necessary. The company records a tax provision or benefit for those international subsidiaries that do not have a full valuation allowance against their net deferred tax assets. Any profit or loss recorded for the company's U.S. operations has no provision or benefit associated with it due to a full valuation allowance. As a result, the company's provision or benefit for taxes will vary significantly quarter to quarter depending on the geographic distribution of income.

Net loss for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was \$12.4 million, or \$.28 per diluted share, compared with net income of \$78.6 million, or \$1.63 per diluted share, for the three months ended September 30, 2011.

Nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2011

Revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$2,727.1 million compared with \$2,868.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, a decrease of 5% from the prior year. Approximately 4 percentage points of the decline were due to a \$114.4 million, or 23%, decline in revenue from the company's U.S. Federal business. Foreign currency fluctuations had a 3-percentage point negative impact on revenue in the current period compared with the year-ago period.

Services revenue decreased 5% and Technology revenue decreased 3% in the current period of 2012 compared with the year-ago period. U.S. revenue decreased 7% in the first nine months of 2012 compared with the year-ago period. Approximately 12 percentage points of the decline were due to the decline in revenue from the company's U.S. Federal business. International revenue decreased 3% in the current period due to declines in all regions with the exception of Pacific/Asia/Japan. Foreign currency had a 5-percentage-point negative impact on international revenue in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Total gross profit margin was 25.2% in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared with 24.6% in the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Selling, general and administrative expense in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$422.0 million (15.5% of revenue) compared with \$446.5 million (15.6% of revenue) in the year-ago period. The current period includes a gain of \$10.6 million related to the sale of a subsidiary which has been recorded as a reduction of selling, general and administrative expense (see note (I) of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements).

Research and development (R&D) expenses in the first nine months of 2012 were \$61.0 million compared with \$57.2 million in the year-ago period.

For the first nine months of 2012, the company reported an operating profit of \$204.6 million compared with an operating profit of \$203.0 million in the year-ago period.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, pension expense was \$76.7 million compared with pension expense of \$26.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the company recorded a charge of \$13.5 million (\$6.4 million in cost of revenue and \$7.1 million in other income/expense) related to the loss of an old non-income tax case concerning the company's former Brazilian manufacturing operations.

Interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$25.0 million compared with \$51.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 reflecting the company's debt reduction actions.

Other income (expense), net was an expense of \$34.9 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared with expense of \$56.6 million in 2011. Included in the first nine months of 2012 were debt reduction charges of \$30.6 million and foreign exchange losses of \$7.3 million. Included in the first nine months of 2011 were charges of \$77.6 million related to debt redemptions and foreign exchange gains of \$21.1 million.

Income before income taxes for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$144.7 million compared with income of \$94.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The provision for income taxes was \$76.8 million in the current period compared with \$52.4 million in the year-ago period. Included in the current-year period was \$9.2 million due to the impact of a U.K. tax rate change. Included in the prior-year period was a benefit of \$29.2 million related to the settlement of two European tax matters, as well as a provision of \$7.6 million due to the impact of a U.K. tax rate change.

Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$47.6 million, or \$1.08 per diluted share, compared with net income of \$26.2 million, or \$.60 per diluted share, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Segment results

The company has two business segments: Services and Technology. Revenue classifications by segment are as follows: Services – systems integration and consulting, outsourcing, infrastructure services and core maintenance; Technology – enterprise-class software and servers and other technology.

The accounting policies of each business segment are the same as those followed by the company as a whole. Intersegment sales and transfers are priced as if the sales or transfers were to third parties. Accordingly, the Technology segment recognizes intersegment revenue and manufacturing profit on hardware and software shipments to customers under Services contracts. The Services segment, in turn, recognizes customer revenue and marketing profits on such shipments of company hardware and software to customers. The Services segment also includes the sale of hardware and software products sourced from third parties that are sold to customers through the company's Services channels. In the company's consolidated statements of income, the manufacturing costs of products sourced from the Technology segment and sold to Services customers are reported in cost of revenue for Services.

Also included in the Technology segment's sales and operating profit are sales of hardware and software sold to the Services segment for internal use in Services engagements. The amount of such profit included in operating income of the Technology segment for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$.4 million and \$.7 million, respectively. The amount for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$9.8 million and \$5.4 million, respectively. The profit on these transactions is eliminated in Corporate.

The company evaluates business segment performance on operating income exclusive of pension income or expense, restructuring charges and unusual and nonrecurring items, which are included in Corporate. All other corporate and centrally incurred costs are allocated to the business segments based principally on revenue, employees, square footage or usage.

Three months ended September 30, 2012 compared with the three months ended September 30, 2011

Information by business segment is presented below (in millions of dollars):

| | <u>Total</u> | <u>Eliminations</u> | <u>Services</u> | <u>Technology</u> |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| <u>Three Months Ended Sept. 30, 2012</u> | | | | |
| Customer revenue | \$ 877.4 | | \$748.0 | \$ 129.4 |
| Intersegment | | \$ (16.2) | .6 | 15.6 |
| Total revenue | <u>\$ 877.4</u> | <u>\$ (16.2)</u> | <u>\$748.6</u> | <u>\$ 145.0</u> |
| Gross profit percent | <u>24.9%</u> | | <u>19.9%</u> | <u>59.9%</u> |
| Operating profit percent | <u>7.0%</u> | | <u>6.0%</u> | <u>29.1%</u> |
| <u>Three Months Ended Sept. 30, 2011</u> | | | | |
| Customer revenue | \$1,020.1 | | \$876.3 | \$ 143.8 |
| Intersegment | | \$ (26.4) | .9 | 25.5 |
| Total revenue | <u>\$1,020.1</u> | <u>\$ (26.4)</u> | <u>\$877.2</u> | <u>\$ 169.3</u> |
| Gross profit percent | <u>27.9%</u> | | <u>21.6%</u> | <u>57.4%</u> |
| Operating profit percent | <u>11.1%</u> | | <u>8.7%</u> | <u>25.8%</u> |

Gross profit percent and operating income percent are as a percent of total revenue.

Customer revenue by classes of similar products or services, by segment, is presented below (in millions of dollars):

| | Three Months Ended Sept. 30 | | Percent Change |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Services | | | |
| Systems integration and consulting | \$230.6 | \$ 305.8 | (24.6)% |
| Outsourcing | 363.3 | 391.2 | (7.1)% |
| Infrastructure services | 107.1 | 124.1 | (13.7)% |
| Core maintenance | 47.0 | 55.2 | (14.9)% |
| | <u>748.0</u> | <u>876.3</u> | <u>(14.6)%</u> |
| Technology | | | |
| Enterprise-class software and servers | 123.5 | 123.4 | .1% |
| Other technology | 5.9 | 20.4 | (71.1)% |
| | <u>129.4</u> | <u>143.8</u> | <u>(10.0)%</u> |
| Total | <u>\$877.4</u> | <u>\$1,020.1</u> | <u>(14.0)%</u> |

In the Services segment, customer revenue was \$748.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, down 14.6% from the three months ended September 30, 2011. Approximately 2 percentage points of the decline were due to the decline in revenue from the company's U.S. Federal business. Foreign currency translation had a 5-percentage-point negative impact on Services revenue in the current quarter compared with the year-ago period.

Revenue from systems integration and consulting decreased 24.6% to \$230.6 million in the September 2012 quarter from \$305.8 million in the September 2011 quarter. Contributing to the decline was lower sales of industry solutions and lower project work.

Outsourcing revenue decreased 7.1% for the three months ended September 30, 2012 to \$363.3 million compared with the three months ended September 30, 2011, principally due to lower Information Technology Outsourcing (ITO) revenue. Approximately 2 percentage points of the decline were due to the decline in revenue from the company's U.S. Federal business.

Infrastructure services revenue decreased 13.7% for the three month period ended September 30, 2012 compared with the three month period ended September 30, 2011.

Core maintenance revenue declined 14.9% in the current quarter compared with the prior-year quarter.

Services gross profit was 19.9% in the third quarter of 2012 compared with 21.6% in the year-ago period. Services operating income percent was 6.0% in the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared with 8.7% in the three months ended September 30, 2011. The decrease in Services gross profit and operating profit margins reflected lower revenue levels as well as a different mix of Services revenue.

In the Technology segment, customer revenue declined 10.0% to \$129.4 million in the current quarter compared with \$143.8 million in the year-ago period, due to declines in other technology revenue. Foreign currency translation had a negative impact of approximately 4-percentage points on Technology revenue in the current period compared with the prior-year period.

Revenue from the company's enterprise-class software and servers, which includes the company's ClearPath and ES7000 product families, was flat for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared with the three months ended September 30, 2011.

Revenue from other technology decreased 71.1% for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared with the three months ended September 30, 2011, principally due to lower sales of third-party technology products.

Technology gross profit was 59.9% in the current quarter compared with 57.4% in the year-ago quarter. Technology operating income percent was 29.1% in the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared with 25.8% in the three months ended September 30, 2011. The increase in Technology gross profit and operating profit margins reflected a richer mix of high margin ClearPath revenue.

Nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2011

Information by business segment is presented below (in millions of dollars):

| | <u>Total</u> | <u>Eliminations</u> | <u>Services</u> | <u>Technology</u> |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| <u>Nine Months Ended Sept. 30, 2012</u> | | | | |
| Customer revenue | \$2,727.1 | | \$2,386.7 | \$ 340.4 |
| Intersegment | | \$ (85.8) | 2.0 | 83.8 |
| Total revenue | \$2,727.1 | \$ (85.8) | \$2,388.7 | \$ 424.2 |
| Gross profit percent | 25.2% | | 19.9% | 61.8% |
| Operating profit percent | 7.5% | | 6.3% | 27.8% |
| <u>Nine Months Ended Sept. 30, 2011</u> | | | | |
| Customer revenue | \$2,868.5 | | \$2,519.3 | \$ 349.2 |
| Intersegment | | \$ (70.2) | 2.7 | 67.5 |
| Total revenue | \$2,868.5 | \$ (70.2) | \$2,522.0 | \$ 416.7 |
| Gross profit percent | 24.6% | | 19.9% | 53.1% |
| Operating profit percent | 7.1% | | 6.7% | 14.6% |

Gross profit percent and operating income percent are as a percent of total revenue.

Customer revenue by classes of similar products or services, by segment, is presented below (in millions of dollars):

| | <u>Nine Months Ended Sept. 30</u> | | <u>Percent Change</u> |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| | <u>2012</u> | <u>2011</u> | |
| Services | | | |
| Systems integration and consulting | \$ 801.9 | \$ 876.7 | (8.5)% |
| Outsourcing | 1,110.9 | 1,112.6 | (.2)% |
| Infrastructure services | 330.0 | 364.1 | (9.4)% |
| Core maintenance | 143.9 | 165.9 | (13.3)% |
| | <u>2,386.7</u> | <u>2,519.3</u> | (5.3)% |
| Technology | | | |
| Enterprise-class software and servers | 320.0 | 302.6 | 5.8% |
| Other technology | 20.4 | 46.6 | (56.2)% |
| | <u>340.4</u> | <u>349.2</u> | (2.5)% |
| Total | <u>\$2,727.1</u> | <u>\$2,868.5</u> | (4.9)% |

In the Services segment, customer revenue was \$2,386.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, down 5.3% from the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Approximately 2 percentage points of the decline were due to the decline in revenue from the company's U.S. Federal business. Foreign currency translation had a 3-percentage-point negative impact on Services revenue in the current period compared with the year-ago period.

Revenue from systems integration and consulting decreased 8.5% to \$801.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from \$876.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Approximately 8 percentage points of the decline were due to the decline in revenue from the company's U.S. Federal business.

Outsourcing revenue of \$1,110.9 million was flat for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Infrastructure services revenue decreased 9.4% for the nine month period ended September 30, 2012 compared with the nine month period ended September 30, 2011.

Core maintenance revenue declined 13.3% in the current nine-month period compared with the prior-year period.

Services gross profit was 19.9% in the first nine months of 2012 compared with 19.9% in the year-ago period. Services operating income percent was 6.3% in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared with 6.7% in the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

In the Technology segment, customer revenue declined 2.5% to \$340.4 million in the first nine months of 2012 compared with \$349.2 million in the year-ago period, as ClearPath revenue increased. Foreign currency translation had a negative impact of approximately 4-percentage point on Technology revenue in the current period compared with the prior-year period.

Revenue from the company's enterprise-class software and servers, which includes the company's ClearPath and ES7000 product families, increased 5.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The increase was due to higher sales of the company's ClearPath products.

Revenue from other technology decreased 56.2% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2011, principally due to lower sales of third-party technology products.

Technology gross profit was 61.8% in the current nine-month period compared with 53.1% in the year-ago period. Technology operating income percent was 27.8% in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared with 14.6% in the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The increases reflected a richer mix of enterprise software in the current period.

New accounting pronouncements

See note (h) of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a full description of recent accounting pronouncements, including the expected dates of adoption and estimated effects on the company's consolidated financial statements.

Financial condition

The company's principal sources of liquidity are cash on hand, cash from operations and its revolving credit facility, discussed below. The company and certain international subsidiaries have access to uncommitted lines of credit from various banks. The company believes that it will have adequate sources of liquidity to meet its expected near-term cash requirements.

Cash and cash equivalents at September 30, 2012 were \$541.6 million compared with \$714.9 million at December 31, 2011.

As of September 30, 2012, approximately \$351 million of cash and cash equivalents were held by the company's foreign subsidiaries. In the future, if these funds are needed for the company's operations in the U.S., the company may be required to accrue and pay taxes to repatriate these funds.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, cash provided by operations was \$107.4 million compared with \$157.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Cash provided by operations during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was negatively impacted by an increase in cash contributions to the company's defined benefit pension plans. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the company contributed cash of \$175.1 million to such plans compared with \$63.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The principal reason for the increase was that in the current period, the company contributed \$111.1 million to its U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plan compared with no contributions to that plan in the prior-year period.

Cash used for investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$90.2 million compared with cash usage of \$83.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Net proceeds of investments were \$2.4 million in each of the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011. Proceeds from investments and purchases of investments represent derivative financial instruments used to reduce the company's currency exposure to market risks from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the net change in restricted deposits resulted in cash usage of \$1.1 million compared with cash provided of \$30.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. In addition, in the current period, the

investment in marketable software was \$42.9 million compared with \$36.9 million in the year-ago period, capital additions of properties were \$26.0 million in 2012 compared with \$32.9 million in 2011 and capital additions of outsourcing assets were \$27.8 million in 2012 compared with \$31.3 million in 2011. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, net proceeds from the sales of businesses and assets was \$5.2 million compared with a net usage of \$15.0 million in the year-ago period.

Cash used for financing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$200.1 million compared with cash usage of \$222.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The current period includes cash payments for long-term debt of \$388.9 million and net proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt of \$204.8 million. The prior-year period includes cash proceeds of \$249.7 million related to the issuance of preferred stock, net of issuance costs, and cash payments for long-term debt of \$462.5 million.

At September 30, 2012, total debt was \$210.8 million, a decrease of \$148.9 million from December 31, 2011.

On August 21, 2012, the company issued \$210 million of 6.25% senior notes due 2017. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the company retired an aggregate principal amount of \$362.3 million of its long-term debt, comprised of all of the remaining \$186.2 million of its 12.75% senior secured notes due 2014, all of the remaining \$25.5 million of its 14 1/4% senior secured notes due 2015 and all of the remaining \$150.6 million of its 12.50% senior notes due 2016. The company used cash on hand and the net proceeds from the issuance of the 6.25% senior notes due 2017 to fund the retirement of this debt.

On June 23, 2011, the company entered into a five-year secured revolving credit facility which provides for loans and letters of credit up to an aggregate amount of \$150 million (with a limit on letters of credit of \$100 million). Borrowing limits under the credit agreement are based upon the amount of eligible U.S. accounts receivable. At September 30, 2012, the company had no borrowings and \$26.3 million of letters of credit outstanding under the facility. At September 30, 2012, availability under the facility was \$75.1 million net of letters of credit issued. Borrowings under the facility will bear interest based on short-term rates. The credit agreement contains customary representations and warranties, including that there has been no material adverse change in the company's business, properties, operations or financial condition. It also contains financial covenants requiring the company to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio and, if the company's consolidated cash plus availability under the credit facility falls below \$130 million, a maximum secured leverage ratio. The credit agreement allows the company to pay dividends on its preferred stock unless the company is in default and to, among other things, repurchase its equity, prepay other debt, incur other debt or liens, dispose of assets and make acquisitions, loans and investments, provided the company complies with certain requirements and limitations set forth in the agreement. Events of default include non-payment, failure to perform covenants, materially incorrect representations and warranties, change of control and default under other debt aggregating at least \$50 million. The credit facility is guaranteed by Unisys Holding Corporation, Unisys NPL, Inc. and any future material domestic subsidiaries. The facility is secured by the assets of Unisys Corporation and the subsidiary guarantors, other than certain excluded assets. The company may elect to prepay or terminate the credit facility without penalty.

At September 30, 2012, the company has met all covenants and conditions under its various lending and funding agreements. The company expects to continue to meet these covenants and conditions.

In 2012, the company estimates that it will make cash contributions of approximately \$200 million to its worldwide defined benefit pension plans, which is comprised of \$111 million for the company's U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plan and \$89 million primarily for non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans.

The company may, from time to time, redeem, tender for, or repurchase its securities in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions depending upon availability, market conditions and other factors. The company has on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission an effective registration statement, expiring in June of 2015, covering debt or equity securities, which enables the company to be prepared for future market opportunities.

Factors that may affect future results

From time to time, the company provides information containing “forward-looking” statements, as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements provide current expectations of future events and include any statement that does not directly relate to any historical or current fact. Words such as “anticipates,” “believes,” “expects,” “intends,” “plans,” “projects” and similar expressions may identify such forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements rely on assumptions and are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause the company’s actual results to differ materially from expectations. Factors that could affect future results include, but are not limited to, those discussed below. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which that statement is made. The company assumes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances that occur after the date on which the statement is made.

Factors that could affect future results include the following:

Future results will depend in part on the company’s ability to drive profitable growth in consulting and systems integration. The company’s ability to grow profitably in this business will depend on the level of demand for systems integration projects and the portfolio of solutions the company offers for specific industries. It will also depend on an efficient utilization of services delivery personnel. In addition, profit margins in this business are largely a function of the rates the company is able to charge for services and the chargeability of its professionals. If the company is unable to attain sufficient rates and chargeability for its professionals, profit margins will be adversely affected. The rates the company is able to charge for services are affected by a number of factors, including clients’ perception of the company’s ability to add value through its services; introduction of new services or products by the company or its competitors; pricing policies of competitors; and general economic conditions. Chargeability is also affected by a number of factors, including the company’s ability to transition employees from completed projects to new engagements, and its ability to forecast demand for services and thereby maintain an appropriate headcount.

The company’s future results will depend in part on its ability to take on, successfully implement and grow outsourcing operations. The company’s outsourcing contracts are multiyear engagements under which the company takes over management of a client’s technology operations, business processes or networks. In a number of these arrangements, the company hires certain of its clients’ employees and may become responsible for the related employee obligations, such as pension and severance commitments. In addition, system development activity on outsourcing contracts may require the company to make significant upfront investments. The company will need to have available sufficient financial resources in order to take on these obligations and make these investments.

Recoverability of outsourcing assets is dependent on various factors, including the timely completion and ultimate cost of the outsourcing solution, and realization of expected profitability of existing outsourcing contracts. These risks could result in an impairment of a portion of the associated assets, which are tested for recoverability quarterly.

As long-term relationships, outsourcing contracts provide a base of recurring revenue. However, outsourcing contracts are highly complex and can involve the design, development, implementation and operation of new solutions and the transitioning of clients from their existing business processes to the new environment. In the early phases of these contracts, gross margins may be lower than in later years when an integrated solution has been implemented, the duplicate costs of transitioning from the old to the new system have been eliminated and the work force and facilities have been rationalized for efficient operations. Future results will depend on the company’s ability to effectively and timely complete these implementations, transitions and rationalizations.

Future results will also depend, in part, on market demand for the company’s high-end enterprise servers and maintenance on these servers. The company continues to apply its resources to develop value-added software capabilities and optimized solutions for these server platforms which provide competitive differentiation. Future results will depend on the company’s ability to maintain its installed base for ClearPath and to develop next-generation ClearPath products to expand the market.

The company faces aggressive competition in the information services and technology marketplace, which could lead to reduced demand for the company's products and services and could have an adverse effect on the company's business. The information services and technology markets in which the company operates include a large number of companies vying for customers and market share both domestically and internationally. The company's competitors include consulting and other professional services firms, systems integrators, outsourcing providers, infrastructure services providers, computer hardware manufacturers and software providers. Some of the company's competitors may develop competing products and services that offer better price-performance or that reach the market in advance of the company's offerings. Some competitors also have or may develop greater financial and other resources than the company, with enhanced ability to compete for market share, in some instances through significant economic incentives to secure contracts. Some also may be better able to compete for skilled professionals. Any of these factors could lead to reduced demand for the company's products and services and could have an adverse effect on the company's business. Future results will depend on the company's ability to mitigate the effects of aggressive competition on revenues, pricing and margins and on the company's ability to attract and retain talented people.

The company's future results will depend on its ability to retain significant clients. The company has a number of significant long-term contracts with clients, including governmental entities, and its future success will depend, in part, on retaining its relationships with these clients. The company could lose clients for such reasons as contract expiration, conversion to a competing service provider, disputes with clients or a decision to in-source services, including for contracts with governmental entities as part of the rebid process. The company could also lose clients as a result of their merger, acquisition or business failure. The company may not be able to replace the revenue and earnings from any such lost client.

The company's future results will depend upon its ability to effectively anticipate and respond to volatility and rapid technological change in its industry. The company operates in a highly volatile industry characterized by rapid technological change, evolving technology standards, short product life cycles and continually changing customer demand patterns. Future success will depend in part on the company's ability to anticipate and respond to these market trends and to design, develop, introduce, deliver or obtain new and innovative products and services on a timely and cost-effective basis. The company may not be successful in anticipating or responding to changes in technology, industry standards or customer preferences, and the market may not demand or accept its services and product offerings. In addition, products and services developed by competitors may make the company's offerings less competitive.

The company's business can be adversely affected by global economic conditions, acts of war, terrorism or natural disasters. The company's financial results have been impacted by the global economic slowdown in recent years. If economic conditions worsen, the company could see reductions in demand and increased pressure on revenue and profit margins. The company could also see a further consolidation of clients, which could also result in a decrease in demand. The company's business could also be affected by acts of war, terrorism or natural disasters. Current world tensions could escalate, and this could have unpredictable consequences on the world economy and on the company's business.

The company has significant pension obligations and may be required to make significant cash contributions to its defined benefit pension plans. The company has unfunded obligations under its U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans. Based on current legislation, recent interest rates and expected returns, in 2012 the company estimates that it will make cash contributions of approximately \$200 million to its worldwide defined benefit pension plans, which is comprised of \$111 million for the company's U.S. qualified defined benefit pension plan and \$89 million primarily for non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans.

Deterioration in the value of the company's worldwide defined benefit pension plan assets, as well as discount rate changes, could require the company to make larger cash contributions to its defined benefit pension plans in the future. In addition, the funding of plan deficits over a shorter period of time than currently anticipated could result in making cash contributions to these plans on a more accelerated basis. Either of these events would reduce the cash available for working capital and other corporate uses and may have an adverse impact on the company's operations, financial condition and liquidity.

The company's future results will depend on the success of its program to reduce costs, focus its global resources and simplify its business structure. Over the past several years, the company has implemented significant cost-reduction measures and continues to focus on measures intended to further improve cost efficiency. In prior years, the company has incurred significant cost reduction charges in connection with these efforts. Future results will depend on the success of these efforts as well as on the success of the company's program to focus its global resources and simplify its business structure. This program is based on various assumptions, including assumptions regarding market segment growth, client demand, and the proper skill set of and training for sales and marketing management and personnel, all of which are subject to change. Furthermore, the company's institutional stockholders may attempt to influence these strategies.

The company's contracts may not be as profitable as expected or provide the expected level of revenues. In a number of the company's long-term contracts for infrastructure services, outsourcing, help desk and similar services, the company's revenue is based on the volume of products and services provided. As a result, revenue levels anticipated at the contract's inception are not guaranteed. In addition, some of these contracts may permit termination at the customer's discretion before the end of the contract's term or may permit termination or impose other penalties if the company does not meet the performance levels specified in the contracts.

The company's contracts with governmental entities are subject to the availability of appropriated funds. These contracts also contain provisions allowing the governmental entity to terminate the contract at the governmental entity's discretion before the end of the contract's term. In addition, if the company's performance is unacceptable to the customer under a government contract, the government retains the right to pursue remedies under the affected contract, which remedies could include termination.

Certain of the company's outsourcing agreements require that the company's prices be benchmarked if the customer requests it and provide that those prices may be adjusted downward if the pricing for similar services in the market has changed. As a result, revenues anticipated at the beginning of the terms of these contracts may decline in the future.

Some of the company's systems integration contracts are fixed-price contracts under which the company assumes the risk for delivery of the contracted services and products at an agreed-upon fixed price. Should the company experience problems in performing fixed-price contracts on a profitable basis, adjustments to the estimated cost to complete may be required. Future results will depend on the company's ability to perform these services contracts profitably.

The company's contracts with U.S. governmental agencies may subject the company to audits, criminal penalties, sanctions and other expenses and fines. The company frequently enters into contracts with governmental entities. U.S. government agencies, including the Defense Contract Audit Agency and the Department of Labor, routinely audit government contractors. These agencies review a contractor's performance under its contracts, cost structure and compliance with applicable laws, regulations and standards. The U.S. government also may review the adequacy of, and a contractor's compliance with contract terms and conditions, its systems and policies, including the contractor's purchasing, property, estimating, billing, accounting, compensation and management information systems. Any costs found to be overcharged or improperly allocated to a specific contract or any amounts improperly billed or charged for products or services will be subject to reimbursement to the government. In addition, government contractors, such as the company, are required to disclose credible evidence of certain violations of law and contract overpayments to the federal government. If the company is found to have participated in improper or illegal activities, the company may be subject to civil and criminal penalties and administrative sanctions, including termination of contracts, forfeiture of profits, suspension of payments, fines and suspension or prohibition from doing business with the U.S. government. Any negative publicity related to such contracts, regardless of the accuracy of such publicity, may adversely affect the company's business or reputation.

The company may face damage to its reputation or legal liability if its clients are not satisfied with its services or products. The success of the company's business is dependent on strong, long-term client relationships and on its reputation for responsiveness and quality. As a result, if a client is not

satisfied with the company's services or products, its reputation could be damaged and its business adversely affected. Allegations by private litigants or regulators of improper conduct, as well as negative publicity and press speculation about the company, whatever the outcome and whether or not valid, may harm its reputation. In addition to harm to reputation, if the company fails to meet its contractual obligations, it could be subject to legal liability, which could adversely affect its business, operating results and financial condition.

Breaches of data security could expose the company to legal liability and could harm the company's business and reputation. The company's business includes managing, processing, storing and transmitting proprietary and confidential data, including personal information, within the company's own IT systems and those the company designs, develops, hosts or manages for clients. Breaches of data security involving these systems by hackers, other third parties or the company's employees, despite established security controls with respect to this data, could result in the loss of data or the unauthorized disclosure or misuse of confidential information of the company, its clients, or others. This could result in litigation and legal liability for the company, lead to the loss of existing or potential clients, adversely affect the market's perception of the security and reliability of the company's products and services and lead to shutdowns or disruptions of the company's IT systems. In addition, such breaches could subject the company to fines and penalties for violations of data privacy laws. This may negatively impact the company's reputation and financial results.

Future results will depend in part on the performance and capabilities of third parties with whom the company has commercial relationships. The company has commercial relationships with suppliers, channel partners and other parties that have complementary products, services or skills. Future results will depend, in part, on the performance and capabilities of these third parties, on the ability of external suppliers to deliver components at reasonable prices and in a timely manner, and on the financial condition of, and the company's relationship with, distributors and other indirect channel partners.

More than half of the company's revenue is derived from operations outside of the United States, and the company is subject to the risks of doing business internationally. More than half of the company's total revenue is derived from international operations. The risks of doing business internationally include foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations, currency restrictions and devaluations, changes in political or economic conditions, trade protection measures, import or export licensing requirements, multiple and possibly overlapping and conflicting tax laws, new tax legislation, weaker intellectual property protections in some jurisdictions and additional legal and regulatory compliance requirements applicable to businesses that operate internationally, including the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and non-U.S. laws and regulations.

Financial market conditions may inhibit the company's ability to access capital and credit markets to address its liquidity needs. Financial market conditions may impact the company's ability to borrow, to refinance its outstanding debt, or to utilize surety bonds, letters of credit, foreign exchange derivatives and other financial instruments the company uses to conduct its business. Although the company primarily uses cash on hand to address its liquidity needs, its ability to do so assumes that its operations will continue to generate sufficient cash.

The company's services or products may infringe upon the intellectual property rights of others. The company cannot be sure that its services and products do not infringe on the intellectual property rights of third parties, and it may have infringement claims asserted against it or against its clients. These claims could cost the company money, prevent it from offering some services or products, or damage its reputation.

Pending litigation could affect the company's results of operations or cash flow. There are various lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings that have been brought or asserted against the company, which arise in the ordinary course of business, including actions with respect to commercial and government contracts, labor and employment, employee benefits, environmental matters, intellectual property and non-income tax and employment compensation in Brazil. See note (i) of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on litigation. The company believes that it has valid defenses with respect to legal matters pending against it. Litigation is inherently unpredictable, however, and it is possible that the company's results of operations or cash flow could be materially affected in any particular period by the resolution of one or more of the legal matters pending against it.

The company could face business and financial risk in implementing future dispositions or acquisitions. As part of the company's business strategy, it may from time to time consider disposing of existing technologies, products and businesses that may no longer be in alignment with its strategic direction, including transactions of a material size, or acquiring complementary technologies, products and businesses. Potential risks with respect to dispositions include difficulty finding buyers or alternative exit strategies on acceptable terms in a timely manner; potential loss of employees or clients; dispositions at unfavorable prices or on unfavorable terms, including relating to retained liabilities; and post closing indemnity claims. Any acquisitions may result in the incurrence of substantial additional indebtedness or contingent liabilities. Acquisitions could also result in potentially dilutive issuances of equity securities and an increase in amortization expenses related to intangible assets. Additional potential risks associated with acquisitions include integration difficulties; difficulties in maintaining or enhancing the profitability of any acquired business; risks of entering markets in which the company has no or limited prior experience; potential loss of employees or failure to maintain or renew any contracts of any acquired business; and expenses of any undiscovered or potential liabilities of the acquired product or business, including relating to employee benefits contribution obligations or environmental requirements. Further, with respect to both dispositions and acquisitions, management's attention could be diverted from other business concerns. Adverse credit conditions could also affect the company's ability to consummate dispositions or acquisitions. The risks associated with dispositions and acquisitions could have a material adverse effect upon the company's business, financial condition and results of operations. There can be no assurance that the company will be successful in consummating future dispositions or acquisitions on favorable terms or at all.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There has been no material change in the company's assessment of its sensitivity to market risk since its disclosure in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

The company's management, with the participation of the company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, the company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of such period, the company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective. Such evaluation did not identify any change in the company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the company's internal control over financial reporting.

Part II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1 Legal Proceedings

Information with respect to litigation is set forth in note (i) of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, and such information is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

See “Factors that may affect future results” in Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for a discussion of risk factors.

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits

See Exhibit Index

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

UNISYS CORPORATION

Date: November 1, 2012

By: /s/ Janet Brutschea Haugen

Janet Brutschea Haugen
Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

By: /s/ Scott Hurley

Scott Hurley
Vice President and
Corporate Controller
(Chief Accounting Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

| <u>Exhibit Number</u> | <u>Description</u> |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3.1 | Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Unisys Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 30, 2010) |
| 3.2 | Certificate of Designations of the registrant's 6.25% Mandatory Convertible Preferred Stock, Series A (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 1, 2011) |
| 3.3 | Certificate of Amendment to Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Unisys Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 28, 2011) |
| 3.4 | Bylaws of Unisys Corporation, as amended through April 29, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 30, 2010) |
| 12 | Statement of Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends |
| 31.1 | Certification of J. Edward Coleman required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) |
| 31.2 | Certification of Janet Brutschea Haugen required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) |
| 32.1 | Certification of J. Edward Coleman required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 |
| 32.2 | Certification of Janet Brutschea Haugen required by Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) and Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 |
| 101.INSXBRL | Instance Document |
| 101.SCHXBRL | Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CALXBRL | Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.LABXBRL | Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document |
| 101.PREXBRL | Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF XBRL | Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |

UNISYS CORPORATION
 COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO COMBINED FIXED CHARGES AND
 PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDENDS (UNAUDITED)
 (\$ in millions)

| | Nine Months Ended Sept. 30 2012 | Years Ended December 31 | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Fixed charges | | | | | | |
| Interest expense | \$ 25.0 | \$ 63.1 | \$101.8 | \$ 95.2 | \$ 85.1 | \$ 76.3 |
| Interest capitalized during the period | 4.5 | 4.9 | 9.1 | 7.5 | 9.0 | 9.1 |
| Amortization of debt issuance expenses | 1.3 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 3.3 | 4.1 | 3.8 |
| Portion of rental expense representative of interest | 20.9 | 32.6 | 33.5 | 34.9 | 46.9 | 52.4 |
| Total Fixed Charges | 51.7 | 102.5 | 147.0 | 140.9 | 145.1 | 141.6 |
| Preferred stock dividend requirements (a) | 12.1 | 13.5 | — | — | — | — |
| Total fixed charges and preferred stock dividends | 63.8 | 116.0 | 147.0 | 140.9 | 145.1 | 141.6 |
| Earnings | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | 144.7 | 206.0 | 222.9 | 218.2 | (97.6) | 14.8 |
| Add amortization of capitalized interest | 5.3 | 7.4 | 9.1 | 11.6 | 16.1 | 14.5 |
| Subtotal | 150.0 | 213.4 | 232.0 | 229.8 | (81.5) | 29.3 |
| Fixed charges per above | 51.7 | 102.5 | 147.0 | 140.9 | 145.1 | 141.6 |
| Less interest capitalized during the period | (4.5) | (4.9) | (9.1) | (7.5) | (9.0) | (9.1) |
| Total earnings | \$197.2 | \$311.0 | \$369.9 | \$363.2 | \$ 54.6 | \$161.8 |
| Ratio of earnings to fixed charges | 3.81 | 3.03 | 2.52 | 2.58 | * | 1.14 |
| Ratio of earnings to fixed charges and preferred stock dividends | 3.28 | 2.80 | 2.52 | 2.58 | ** | 1.14 |

(a) Amounts have not been grossed up for income taxes since the preferred stock was issued by the U.S. parent corporation which has a full valuation allowance against its net deferred tax assets.

* Earnings for the year ended December 31, 2008 were inadequate to cover fixed charges by \$90.5 million.

** Earnings for the year ended December 31, 2008 were inadequate to cover fixed charges and preferred stock dividends by \$90.5 million.

CERTIFICATION

I, J. Edward Coleman, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Unisys Corporation;
 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;
- and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 1, 2012

/s/ J. Edward Coleman

Name: J. Edward Coleman
Title: Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

I, Janet Brutschea Haugen, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Unisys Corporation;
 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting;
- and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 1, 2012

/s/ Janet Brutschea Haugen

Name: Janet Brutschea Haugen
Title: Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION OF PERIODIC REPORT

I, J. Edward Coleman, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Unisys Corporation (the "Company"), certify, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that:

- (1) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m); and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: November 1, 2012

/s/ J. Edward Coleman

J. Edward Coleman
Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

CERTIFICATION OF PERIODIC REPORT

I, Janet Brutschea Haugen, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Unisys Corporation (the "Company"), certify, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that:

- (1) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m); and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: November 1, 2012

/s/ Janet Brutschea Haugen

Janet Brutschea Haugen
Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.